

# Carroll County EQIP 2007 Ranking Sheet

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Phone number: \_\_\_\_\_

Tract Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Application was signed \_\_\_\_\_ Contract Size: \_\_\_\_\_ Acres

Number of Animal Units: \_\_\_\_\_

EQIP Dollars in whole numbers: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Total Points: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation Completed By: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Priority Resource Concern: \_\_\_\_\_

## NATIONAL PRIORITIES ADDRESSED 15% of Total

- |   | Yes                   | No                    |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reduction of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients sediment, pesticides, and excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations. (20pts) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources? (20pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards? (20pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentations from unacceptable levels on agricultural ground? (20pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation? (20pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**STATE ISSUES ADDRESSED**  
**25% Of Total**

- |  | Yes                   | No                    |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. <b>Non-Point Reduction/Emissions Reduction:</b> Will practice(s) in application treat livestock waste for an existing livestock operation with a resource concern problem identified? (Can only answer yes to one of questions 1 – 5.) (20pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. <b>Non-Point Reduction/Emissions Reduction:</b> Will practice(s) in application treat livestock waste for an existing livestock operation with a resource concern problem identified, where the entire facility is relocated to a new less environmentally sensitive location? (Can only answer yes to one of questions 1 – 5.) (20pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. <b>Non-Point Reduction/Emissions Reduction:</b> Will practice(s) in application treat livestock waste for an existing livestock operation with a resource concern problem identified, where expansion of the livestock operation is planned? (Can only answer yes to one of questions 1 – 5.) (20pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. <b>Non-Point Reduction/Emissions Reduction:</b> Will practice(s) in application treat livestock waste for a new livestock operation? (Can only answer yes to one of questions 1 – 5.) (20pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. <b>Non-Point Reduction/Emissions Reduction:</b> Will practice(s) in application treat livestock waste for a new livestock operation located in a watershed listed in “Iowa Section 303(d) Impaired Waters Listings” or one of the following water quality approved projects: Watershed Protection Program Fund (WSPF), Water Protection Fund (WPF), EPA 319 Project, or Iowa Watershed Improvement Review Board (IWIRB) Fund? (Can only answer yes to one of questions 1 – 5) (-5pts) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. <b>Non-Point Reduction:</b> Does the application include implementation of a Planned Grazing System or Pasture Management? (10pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. <b>Non-Point Reduction:</b> Is the application within a watershed listed in “Iowa Section 303(d) Impaired Waters Listings” or one of the following water quality approved projects: Watershed Protection Program Fund (WSPF), Water Protection Fund (WPF), EPA 319 Project, or Iowa Watershed Improvement Review Board (IWIRB) Fund? (5pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 8. <b>Non-Point Reduction:</b> Is the application located in a TMDL watershed? (5pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

- |  | Yes                   | No                    |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 9. <b>Non-Point Reduction:</b> Do the practice(s) in the application address the Ag related nonpoint source impairment within a TMDL, a watershed listed in “Iowa Section 303(d) Impaired Waters Listings” or one of the following water quality approved projects: Watershed Protection Program Fund (WSPF), Water Protection Fund (WPF), EPA 319 Project, or Iowa Watershed Improvement Review Board (IWIRB) Fund? (10pts) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 10. <b>Soil Erosion &amp; Sedimentation Reduction:</b> Does the application address an invasive species problem with pasture management or forest management? (5pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 11. <b>Soil Erosion &amp; Sedimentation Reduction:</b> Will the implementation of practices in this application convert row crop acres to hayland, pastureland, forestland, or wildlife acres on at least 5% of the application acres? (Must be new acres converted, not part of normal rotation) (5pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 12. <b>Soil Erosion &amp; Sedimentation Reduction:</b> Are all expiring CRP acres within all tracts included in this EQIP application maintained as hayland, pastureland, forestland, or wildlife acres? (Maintenance of these acres as Hayland, pastureland, forestland, or wildlife acres must be covered in the EQIP contract.) (5pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 13. <b>Soil Erosion &amp; Sedimentation Reduction:</b> Is Soil Conditioning Index (SCI) improved at least 0.3 points by applying the practices in this application? (Use predominant soil map unit.) (7pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 14. <b>Soil Erosion &amp; Sedimentation Reduction:</b> Is Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR) improved at least 30 points by applying the practices in this application? (Use predominant soil map unit.) (8pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 15. <b>At-Risk Species Habitat Promotion:</b> Do the practices in this application provide a benefit to wildlife T & E species of concern identified for the county it is located in? (5pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 16. <b>At-Risk Species Habitat Promotion:</b> Does the application of practice(s) in this EQIP application result in row crop being converted to wildlife habitat on at least 3 acres? (5pts)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 17. Is the contract participant a Limited Resource Producer? (10pts)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

LOCAL ISSUES ADDRESSED  
45% of Total

	Yes	No
1. <b>Soil Erosion</b> - Contract treats ephemeral gully erosion. (5 pts)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. <b>Soil Erosion</b> - Contract treats all gully erosion in a field. (5 pts) (Can only answer yes to one of questions 2-3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3. <b>Soil Erosion</b> - Contract treats all gully erosion in the tract. (5 pts) (Can only answer yes to one of questions 2-3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4. <b>Soil Erosion</b> - Contract results in the implementation of structural practices on cropland that is not considered sodbusted. (15 pts)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
5. <b>Soil Erosion</b> - Area of application under consideration is under the proper land use. (10 pts)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
6. <b>Soil Erosion</b> - The contract results in the implementation of structural or vegetative practices and the producer decides to switch land use or their farming practices to have a decline in SCI. (-10 pts)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
7. <b>Soil Erosion</b> - Contract results in conversion of cropland (including expiring CRP) to perennial vegetation and/or woody cover. (10 pts)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
8. <b>Water Quality</b> - Contract results in the implementation of a comprehensive nutrient management plan (CNMP) for an existing facility with a CNMP already developed at the time of application (10 pts) (Can only answer yes to one of questions 8-11)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
9. <b>Water Quality</b> - Contract results in the implementation of a comprehensive nutrient management plan (CNMP) for an existing facility without a CNMP at the time of application (5 pts) (Can only answer yes to one of questions 8-11)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
10. <b>Water Quality</b> - Contract results in the implementation of a comprehensive nutrient management plan (CNMP) for an expanding facility (3 pts) (Can only answer yes to one of questions 8-11)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
11. <b>Water Quality</b> - Contract results in the implementation of a comprehensive nutrient management plan (CNMP) for a new facility (2 pts) (Can only answer yes to one of questions 8-11)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
12. <b>Water Quality</b> - Contract results in controlled access of livestock to perennial or intermittent streams and other water bodies. (5 pts)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

13. **Water Quality** - Contract is located in the West Nishnabotna or Brushy Creek Watershed (5pts)
14. **Plant Condition** - Will the producer interseed legumes to improve forage quality? (2 pts)
15. **Plant Condition** - Will the producer maintain grazing records? (5 pts)
16. **Plant Condition** - Will the producer use correct stocking rates? (5 pts)
17. **Plant Condition** - Will the travel distance to water be reduced to 800 feet or less on all pastures? (5 pts)
18. **Plant Condition** - Will livestock be excluded from streams in wintering areas? (2 pts)
19. **Plant Condition** - Will livestock access to streams and ponds be controlled to no more than 25%? (5 pts)
20. **Plant Condition** - Will the grazing system utilize native warm season grasses on 20% pasture acres? (2 pts)
21. **Plant Condition** - Will livestock be excluded from forest land and other sensitive areas? (1 pts)
22. **Plant Condition** - Will the producer manage riparian areas? (2 pts)
23. **Plant Condition** - Will the producer implement control measures for invasive species? (1 pts)